

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

05/12/2008 CHOATE, HALL & STEWART / CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC. TWO INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110 EXAMINER TRAN, QUOC A ART UNIT PAPER NL 2176	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
CHOATE, HALL & STEWART / CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC. TWO INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110 ART UNIT PAPER NL 2176	09/767,365	01/22/2001	Sheng Liang	2006579-0558 (CTX-199)	2538
TWO INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110 ART UNIT PAPER NL 2176			EXAM	EXAMINER	
ART UNIT PAPER NL 2176	TWO INTERNATIONAL PLACE			TRAN, QUOC A	
	BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAIL DATE DELIVERY				2176	
MAIL DATE DELIVERY					
05/12/2008 PAPI					DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Nation of Aboundary	09/767.365	LIANG ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quoc A. Tran	2176
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
This application is abandoned in view of:		
⊠ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejectio application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constit final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) No reply has been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 		the statutory period of three months
 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was ——, which is after the expiration of the statutory p Allowance (PTOL-85). 		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n	ot been received.	
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as req Allowability (PTO-37). 	uired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by th the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	n attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		e the period for seeking court review
7. 🛮 The reason(s) below:		
See Continuation Sheet		

/Rachna S Desai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2176

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

US. Patent and Trademant Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20080508

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: Attorney Devon Bush Tel: (617) 248-4785 confirmed that a response was not filed within the statutory period for response. However, Mr. Bush has indicated, the petition of unintentional delay in reply to the Office action dated 07/26/2007 by applicants was unintentional and the petition will be filed pursuant to revise the abandonment of the application, due to misunderstanding between the Examiner and Applicant's Attorneys during the Office interview dated 101/6/207.

/Quoc A. Tran/ Examiner, Art Unit 2176